UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,811	11/25/2003	Charles Hensley	33205.0217	8179	
SNELL & WIL	7590 11/10/201 MER L.L.P.	EXAMINER			
One Arizona Ce		PAK, JOHN D			
400 East Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/10/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/722,811	HENSLEY ET AL.			
Examiner-induced interview Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John Pak	1616			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Afte</u>	e <u>r-Final</u>			
(1) <u>John Pak</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Cynthia Pillote</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview:	Time:				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Description ☐ Descriptio	nt's representative)				
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
(A _I	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Examiner informed Ms. Pillote that the terminal disclaimers of 7/15/2010 and 10/14/2010 could not be accepted upon further review because the statutory term was not properly set forth in the second instance for each terminal disclaimers. See page 2 of the terminal disclaimer of 7/15/2010, second paragraph; and page 2 of the terminal disclaimer of 10/14/2010, first paragraph. Only 35 USC 154 and 173 must be recited. The Examiner stated that in the absence of an acceptable terminal disclaimer, the outstanding grounds of obviousness type double patenting rejection are not overcome; and because there is no more time remaining (6 months period for reply has expired), this application is deemed abandoned. The Examiner stated that he will expedite the examination of the continuation case, 12/904,749, so that all issues from this application can be quickly resolved in that continuation case once the case becomes available for examination.